# Realtime Anomaly Detection with the CMS Level-1 Global Trigger Test Crate



Sioni Summers (CERN) for the CMS collaboration

### **CMS** Trigger

The CMS experiment at the LHC deploys a **trigger** system [1] of around 100 **FPGA** processors to **filter** the 40 MHz proton-proton collisions down to 100 kHz.



**Reconstruction** of detector signals provides a description of the particles and properties of each event. A **menu** of conditions on these properties is used to select events to **keep or reject**. Trigger selections are chosen balancing the needs of physics analysers with the event rate of each condition.

The menu is deployed into 6 MP7 cards [2] in the **Global Trigger** system, that each host a Xilinx Virtex 7 FPGA. The **Test Crate** is a parallel copy, whose decision is not used to trigger CMS, that is used to **test** new algorithms.

### Deployment

The AXOL1TL algorithm is converted to FPGA firmware with **High Level Synthesis** (HLS): C++ for FPGAs. **hls4ml** [5] is used for the efficient implementation of Neural Network **inference**. The rest of the HLS framework implements the **interface** to the particle and event property data formats from the Global Trigger, and the loss computation.

The algorithm is synthesized using Xilinx's Vitis HLS and Vivado tool suite. The **floorplan** (left plot) shows one Global Trigger FPGA module with **AXOL1TL** highlighted in **purple**. AXOL1TL consumes around **2%** of the FPGA Look Up Table (LUT) **resources** of one FPGA. The inference **latency** (the time delay after which a prediction is made from new inputs) is **50 ns**, meeting the requirement from the Global Trigger system for deployment in a full menu.



AXOL1TL was **deployed** into the Test Crate during CMS data taking in 2023. Binary keep/reject trigger decisions with different anomaly score thresholds were **recorded** for every event. Validation of the deployment was performed with offline recomputation of the anomaly score by emulation of the HLS firmware. **Agreement** of **99%** was observed between the two, with differences centred around the thresholds (right plot).

# **Anomaly Detection**

**AXOL1TL** is a trigger algorithm designed to detect **new physics** without bias to the type of physics signature [3]. It's a **Variational AutoEncoder** trained **unsupervised**, on **unbiased data** comprised mostly of background events.



The model is **trained** with a loss function including terms for the **reconstruction** and **latent distribution**.

$$\mathcal{L} = (1 - \beta) ||x - \hat{x}||^2 + \beta \frac{1}{2} (\mu^2 + \sigma^2 - 1 - \log \sigma^2)$$

**Quantization Aware Training** [4] is used to produce a model that is efficient for inference in hardware. Only the  $\mu^2$  term is evaluated for anomaly detection at inference time, avoiding the need to compute the full decoder. Anomalous events are selected by applying

a **cut** on this **anomaly score**.

# Monitoring

The Test Crate FPGAs count how many events would pass each trigger selection, which is read out by the **Data Acquisition** system. A **Prometheus monitoring** tool stores count and rate metrics, and answers queries to access them. The plot shows the event selection rate over time for 4 different AXOL1TL thresholds during one CMS data taking run of around 8 hours. The data rate shows **stability**, with variations following LHC **luminosity**.



**T** 



In the unbiased dataset collected, some events would have been selected by AXOL1TL, but not any other trigger. The **event display** shows the offline reconstruction of the event with the highest anomaly score. It contains **7 jets** (orange cones), **1 muon** (red curve), and an unusually high **75 vertices** (intersections of several particle trajectories).

#### References

[1] CMS Collaboration, "CMS Technical Design Report for the Level-1 Trigger Upgrade," CERN-LHCC-2013-011, CMS-TDR-12, 2013

[2] K. Compton et al., "The MP7 and CTP-6: multi-hundred Gbps processing boards for calorimeter trigger upgrades at CMS," JINST, vol. 7, no. 12, 2012

[3] Govorkova et al. "Autoencoders on field-programmable gate arrays for real-time, unsupervised new physics detection at 40 MHz at the Large Hadron Collider.", Nat Mach Intell 4, 154–161, 2022

[4] Coelho, C.N. *et al.*, "Automatic heterogeneous quantization of deep neural networks for low-latency inference on the edge for particle detectors." Nat Mach Intell 3, 675–686, 2021
[5] J. Duarte *et al.*, "Fast inference of deep neural networks in FPGAs for particle physics," JINST, vol. 13, no. 07, 2018